Walsall Healthcare

### NHS Trust

# **Case series: Erector Spinae Plane (ESP) Block in Septic Patients**

#### WHY ESP BLOCK IN SEPSIS? Complex interplay of underlying infection, systemic inflammation, CVS instability, organ dysfunctions, and coagulopathy limits the use of other systemic or regional analgesic techniques.<sup>1,2</sup> As per the RA-UK guidelines for ESP ESP block.<sup>3</sup> Fig 1:Anatomical location of ESP block and Suitable if INR $\leq 3$ / on anticoagulants distribution of local anesthetic seen on ultrasound. 2. Correct INR > 3 before block **METHODS** placement Contraindication pertains to local 3. Bilateral or unilateral ESP block given in 10 injection site infection rather than adult septic patients for primary pain control systemic infection with 0.2% Ropivacaine and Dexamethasone. 8 patients- Single shot ESP block (20-30ml It is a safe , simple technique with on one side) during emergency laparotomy relatively less complications, less 2 patients- B/L ESP block with catheter for opioid requirement and more continuous infusion (6-8ml/hr) in ITU for hemodynamic stability.<sup>1</sup> acute pancreatitis.

1). Galacho J, Veiga M, Ormonde L. ESP block and altered hemostasis: is it a safe option?-a case series. Korean J Anesthesiol 2020;73:445 2). Allos, Matthew T. BS; Zukowski, et al. Erector Spinae Plane Continuous Catheters for Refractory Abdominal Pain Related to Necrotizing Pancreatitis: A Case Report. A & A Practice 2021;15(11): e 01543 3). Merjavy Peter. Erector Spinae Plane Block Guideline. RA-UK CG0603. March 2019 (Review March 2022)

## Dr. K. Gandhi, Dr. M. Salim Manor Hospital, Walsall, West Midlands.

# AIM

To explore the utilization of ESP block as a part of multimodal analgesia in patients with sepsis.



# **CASE SPECIFIC CONCERNS**

- All the cases were septic with relatively high INR. In addition to that ;
- Case 1- Has a h/o anaphylactoid reaction to opioid
- Case 2- Patient was very drowsy due to high use of opioid for pain relief
- ✓ Case 3 INR 4.2 , therefore octaplex given before the block.

# DATA AND RESULTS

Table 1: Demographic parameters, medical diagnosis or surgery of 10 cases

ulagilosis of surgery of to cases			
Age (Yr)	52 ± 15		Inc
(Mean ± SD)			Со
Sex (F/M)	6 F / 4M		
Medical	Pancreatitis(2), Acute intestinal		Th
diagnosis /	obstruction (3), Para-umbilical		ind
Surgery	hernia (1), Appendicular		ра
	perforation (2), Cholecystectomy		ne
	(1), Ovarian cyst (1)		CO

# REFERENCES

Walsall	Healthcare	NHS
	NHS Trust	

Table 2: Infection, and coagulation						
parameters						
CRP (Mean ± SD)	<b>167 ± 92</b>					
WCC (Mean ± SD)	17.7 ± 6.1					
PLATELETS (Mean ± SD)	198 ± 52					
INR (Mean ± SD)	1.52 ± 0.71					
Table 3: Mean Pain score, opioid						
requirement on POD1 and ITU stay						
Pain score	0 or 1					
<b>Opioid Use (mg)</b>	9.3 ± 6.3					
(Mean ± SD)						
ITU stay (days) (Mean ± SI	D) <b>26 ± 8.8</b>					
Inotropic requirement or Complications if any	Nil					

### CONCLUSION

his case series underscores novel dication of ESP block in the septic atients . However, further research is eeded in a larger septic patient cohort.