Excellent Line Care on Critical Care: Lessons for Improvement

Dr J Bowen and Dr J Hunter, Nottingham University Hospitals Trust

Introduction Vascular access devices are a necessity of critical care, however are frequently linked to healthcare-associated infections ¹ . Nottingham University Hospitals Critical Care (NUH-CC) has been recognised as an outlier in the number of ICU- acquired bloodstream infections by ICNARC data ² . While there are multiple possible contributing factors, the larger issue of line care warranted review.								Resu 202 li (CVCs) The n • do
MethodsTwo PDSA cycles were completed to review lines in NUH-CC. Snapshots of current indwelling lines for patients across Adult Intensive Care and High Dependency , totalling 200 lines, were reviewed over a four-week period at two instances.•Data included where lines were inserted, documentation of insertion, daily site•								
monitoring and whether the line was still required. Concurrently, junior medical staff were surveyed to explore attitudes and perceived barriers to improve line insertion and documentation.								Staff • 16 • Pre • Dis rea
	Arterial Line Car	nnula		PICC or	Midline	■ VasCa	th	• Ed
CCD	Yes - excellent Yes - could be improved No (inserted elsewhere)	8 8 32 4	4					Conc Issues super
E12	Yes - excellent Yes - could be improved No (inserted elsewhere) No (and inserted on NUHCC)	13 13 1 12 17	21	12 2				Staff a abser This p
AICU	Yes - excellent Yes - could be improved No (inserted elsewhere) No (and inserted on NUHCC)	75 9 9	42 14 1 22 3 27		45	2	4	impro to be organ sticke

NHS Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust

References

1.

2. Care Unit. 1 April 2021 to 30 September 2021. Case Mix Programme. With thanks to Dr G Gibbon and all involved with data collection.

ilts:

ines reviewed: 93 arterial lines, 123 cannulas, 85 central venous catheters s), 4 midlines/PICC lines and 9 vascaths. 63% had been inserted on NUH-CC.

nain barriers to excellent line care on NUH-CC are: ocumenting line insertion (especially cannulas)

- 26% of all line insertions were not documented
- 35% all cannula insertions were not documented viewing lines regularly
- 19% lines had not been documented on the latest review entifying unnecessary lines
 - 13-17% lines were no longer necessary and could be removed

report:

- % felt it was difficult to document lines effectively
- e-printed stickers are very useful, but frequently unavailable
- stractions, lack of space for documentation, and human error were common asons for substandard documentation
- ucation in expected standards for line care would be useful

clusions

s regarding documentation and regular review of lines (including removal of rfluous lines) prevent NUH-CC from achieving consistently excellent line care. appreciate having pre-printed stickers for documentation, but their frequent nce impedes their wider use.

project identified a role for additional education of junior medical staff, towards oving line documentation and prompting timely line removal. A focus group is conducted to do this and create an easily accessible tutorial. Work at a larger nisational scale should be considered to improve availability of insertion ers and efficiency of daily proformas to empower effective documentation.

